Datatreasury Corporation v. City National Corporation et al Doc. 40

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

DATATREASURY CORP.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	**2:06-CV-165-DF**
	§	
CITY NATIONAL CORPORATION,\ et al.,	§	
	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendants' Motion (1) to Dismiss for Lack of Proper Venue; (2) to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim; or, in the Alternative, (3) for More Definite Statement; and Joinder in Joint Motion of Defendants to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for More Definite Statement in Case No. 2:06-CV-72. Dkt. Nos. 13 & 14. Also before the Court are Plaintiff's response and Defendants' reply. Dkt. Nos. 24 & 30, respectively. Plaintiff has also filed a Motion to Authorize Jurisdictional Discovery, to which Defendants have responded. Dkt. Nos. 25 & 31, respectively.

The Court originally set a hearing on these motions for January 16, 2007. Dkt. No. 34. The parties requested, by telephone, a 30-day continuance of that hearing, and the Court granted this request by cancelling the January 16 hearing and ordering the parties to submit a written report within 30 days as to their intentions on these motions. Dkt. Nos. 36 & 37. The parties have submitted a report wherein they request permission "to file supplements to their respective motions and responses at issue." Dkt. No. 39. It is hereby

Dockets.Justia.com

ORDERED that the parties shall be permitted, no later than **February 16, 2007**, to file supplements to their respective motions and responses at issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 12th day of February, 2007.

DAVID FOLSOM
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE